1 2	CAMPEAU GOODSELL SMITH, L.C. SCOTT L. GOODSELL, #122223 WILLIAM J. HEALY, #146158		
3	440 N. 1 st Street, Suite 100 San Jose, California 95112		
4	Telephone: (408) 295-9555 Facsimile: (408) 295-6606		
5	ATTORNEYS FOR Debtor		
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In re:) Case No. 13-53491	
12	272 E SANTA CLARA GROCERY, LLC,) CHAPTER 11	
13	Debtor.	EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TODECLARATION OF RANDY L.	
14		ZUCKERMAN RE: AMENDEDOBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM	
15		OF BOSTON PRIVATE BANK & TRUST COMPANY (Claim No. 2)	
16			
17			
18 19	COMES NOW, Debtor 272 E SANT	'A CLARA GROCERY, LLC ("Debtor") and	
20	submits the following objections to the RANDY L. ZUCKERMAN (Docket#106-8) as follows:		
21	I. Declaration of Randy L. Zuckerman.		
22	Portion of Declaration Objected to	Objection	
23 24	Paragraph 1, page 2, lines 8-12	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045, and hearsay,	
	D 121' 1416	FRE 801, 802.	
2526	Paragraph 2, lines 14-16	Improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony, FRE 701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v.	
27		Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); and irrelevant, FRE 401-403.	
28			
	FVIDENTIARY OR IECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF RANDY L. ZUCKERMAN REVAMENDED OR IECTION TO PROOF OF		

EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF RANDY L. ZUCKERMAN RE: AMENDED OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM OF BOSTON PRIVATE BANK & TRUST COMPANY (Claim No. 2)

1	Paragraph 4, page 2, line 17-page 3, line 2	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List
2 3		(9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony, FRE
4		701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security
5		Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); irrelevant FRE 401-403; and hearsay, FRE 801, 802.
6	Paragraph 5, lines 4-5	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and
7		lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE
9		701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); and hearsay, FRE 801, 802.
10	Paragraph 6, lines 6-22	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and
11		lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper
12 13		factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE 701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48
13		(9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); and hearsay, FRE 801, 802.
15	Paragraph 7, page 3, line 23 -page 4, line 2	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and
16		lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE
17		701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security
18		Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); and hearsay, FRE 801, 802.
19	Paragraph 8, lines 3-12	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List
20		(9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE
21 22		701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security
23		Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); and hearsay, FRE 801, 802.
24	Paragraph 9, lines 13-18	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List
25		(9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE
26		701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security
27		Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); and hearsay, FRE 801, 802.
28		

1 2 3 4	Paragraph 10, page 4, line 19-page 5, line 4	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE 701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); and
5	Paragraph 11, lines 6-12	hearsay, FRE 801, 802.
6 7	Taragraph 11, lines 0-12	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE
8		701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security
9		Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); and hearsay, FRE 801, 802.
10 11	Paragraph 12, lines 14-22	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List
12		(9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE 701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48
13		(9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); and hearsay, FRE 801, 802.
14	Paragraph 14, lines 1-5	improper factual conclusions and opinion
151617		testimony. FRE 701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); hearsay, FRE 801, 802, and irrelevant FRE 401-403.
18	Paragraph 15, lines 10-11	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and
19		lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE
20		701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security
21		Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967); irrelevant FRE 401-403; and hearsay, FRE 801,
22	Paragraph 16, lines 12-17	802. Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and
23	Taragraph 10, fines 12-17	lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper
24		factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE 701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48
25		(9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967).
26		
27 28		
۷۵		

1 2 3	Paragraph 17, lines 18-24	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE 701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security
4		Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967).
5 6	Paragraph 18, page 6, line 25-page 7, line 7	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper
7 8		factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE 701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967).
9	Paragraph 19, lines 8-25	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and
10		lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper
11		factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE 701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security
12	Paragraph 20, page 7, line 26-page 8, line 2	Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967).
13	r aragraph 20, page 7, fine 20-page 8, fine 2	Knowingly false (18 U.S.C. 1623). Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9 th Cir.
14		1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE 701; see
15 16		Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967).
17	Paragraph 20, page 8, lines 3-6	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and
18		lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List (9th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper
19		factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE 701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48
20		(9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967).
21	Paragraph 21, lines 8-10	Lack of personal knowledge, speculation, and lack of foundation, FRE 602 and Taylor v. List
22		(9 th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1040, 1045; improper factual conclusions and opinion testimony. FRE
2324		701; see Turner v. Lundquist, 377 F.2d 44, 48 (9th Cir. 1967); DePinto v. Provident Security Life Ins. Co., 374 F.2d 50, 55 (9th Cir. 1967).
25	Entire Declaration	Invalid declaration pursuant to FRE 603 and
26		28 U.S.C. 1746.
27		
28		